

After Nearly 100 Years, the *Frye* Standard Still Has Some Teeth

By: Wesley Payne, IV and Jonathan Woy
Counterpoint | Pennsylvania Defense Institute
1.18

A common complaint by many attorneys with respect to vetting expert opinions in matters filed within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is that the standard utilized, which was set nearly 100 years ago in *Frye v. United States*, is outdated and does not allow a party the same latitude to challenge and test an opponent's scientific expert opinions nearly as well or as thoroughly as the standard adopted by the United States Supreme Court in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* In an article published in the Pennsylvania Defense Institute's *Counterpoint*, Wes Payne and Jonathan Woy analyze why the *Frye* standard should not be overlooked.

Read the full article.

This correspondence should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and legal questions.

